USDC SCAN INDEX SHEET

















MNA 5/9/03 11:12

3:03-CV-00919 JONES V. LONG JOHN SILVERS

1

CMP.

15928

ROY L. LANDERS (BAR #64920) LAW OFFICES OF ROY L. LANDERS 2 7840 MISSION CENTER COURT, SUITE 101 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108 3 TELEPHONE (619) 296-7898 FACSIMILE (619) 296-5611 Attorney for Plaintiff: DAN JONES 5 6 7 9 10 DAN JONES 11 Plaintiff, 12 vs. 13 14 15 16 Defendants. 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT THERN DISTRICT, OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 19 IEG LSP

LONG JOHN SILVER'S, LONG JOHN SILVER'S RESTAURANT, LONG JOHN SILVER'S INC., AND DOES 1-10, Inclusive, Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES RE: VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS ON BASIS OF DISCRIMINATION IN PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS: UNFAIR, UNLAWFUL AND FRAUDULENT BUSINESS PRACTICES: NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS: INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS: DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. (a) Jurisdiction of this action is invoked on the basis of 28 USC 1331 and 1343,42 USC 12101-12102, 12181-12183 and 12201, et. seq. Jurisdiction is also invoked pursuant to 42 USC 1981 [Civil Rights Act of 1991], et seq, which is applicable to causes of action where persons with disabilities have been denied their civil rights and Title II, section 201, et. seq. of the 1964 Civil Rights Act. Venue in the Southern Judicial District of California in the United States District Court is in accord with 28. U.S.C. section 1391(b) because a substantial part of plaintiff's claims arose within the Judicial District of the United States District Court of the Southern District of California.

Complaint for Damages - 1

Southern District of California has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims alleged in this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a). Supplemental jurisdiction is appropriate in this action on the basis that all the causes of action or claims derived from federal law and those arising under state law, as herein alleged, arose from a common nucleus of operative facts. The common nucleus of operative facts, include, but are not limited to, the incidents whereby plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendant's facilities, goods, and/or services in violation of both federal and state laws when plaintiff attempted to enter, use, and/or exit Defendant's facilities as described within this Complaint. Further, due to this denial of full and equal access Plaintiff and other person's with disabilities were injured. Based upon such allegations the state actions, as stated herein, are so related to the federal actions that they form part of the same case or controversy, and the actions would ordinarily be expected to be tried in one judicial proceeding.

(b) Supplemental Jurisdiction. The Judicial District of the United States District Court of the

II

PARTIES

- 2. Defendant(s), LONG JOHN SILVER'S RESTAURANT is and at all times herein mentioned were duly organized business, association, or corporation duly authorized to exist and operate within the State of California and County of San Diego and the owner, operator or lessee of the premises located at 1049 3RD AVENUE, CHULA VISTA, CALIFORNIA.
- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants LONG JOHN SILVER'S, LONG JOHN SILVER'S RESTAURANT, and LONG JOHN SILVER'S, INC, were at all times herein was and are the owners, lessors, tenants and controlling parties of the property, which is the subject of this action and in some manner responsible for the violations of law as alleged herein.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the named defendants herein operates a business and or/facility of public accommodation as defined and described within 42 USC 12181(7)(B) of the American with Disabilities Act [ADA] and as such must comply with the ADA under provisions of Title III therein.
- 5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the defendants sued as Does 1-10 herein, and therefore sues them in their fictitious names as Doe defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does

1-10 are the owners, operators, lessees or tenants of the subject property and each of the Doe defendants at all times herein was acting as the agent and or representative of each other and thereby are responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages complained of herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to name Doe defendants when the same is ascertained.

Ш

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 6. Plaintiff is disabled and confined to a wheelchair. He has no control over his lower extremities and must use a wheelchair to transport himself and to effect the basic necessities of his everyday existence. Plaintiff's disability substantially limits one or more of life's major activities and therefore he is disabled as defined under 42 USC 12102(2)(A)(B)(C).
- 7. On or about MARCH 19, 2003 plaintiff attempted to enter the subject premises of the defendants herein to utilize goods and/or services offered by defendants. When Plaintiff attempted to enter said facility, plaintiff had difficulty entering and using the facility because it failed to comply with Federal ADA Access Guidelines For Building and Facilities [hereinafter "ADAAG"] and/or the State of California's Title 24 Building Code Requirements.
- 8. The specific difficulty Plaintiff had in entering and utilizing Defendants' facility and which amount to a violation of ADAAG and Title 24 of the California Building Code are:
 - 1. Improper Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out Reclaim at:), (CA Title 24 1129B.5);
 - 2. Improper Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out Telephone number:), (CA Title 24 1129B.5);
 - 3. Improper Van Accessible Aisle Length, (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2);
 - 4. Improper Curb Ramp Location, (ADAAG 4.7.1);
 - 5. No Curb Bumper/Stop, (CA Title 24 1129.B4.3);
 - 6. No Seating Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities (Min Requirement 5%), (ADAAG 5.1 & CA Title 24 1104B.5.4);

1	7.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Clear Space 30" x 48"	
2		Min)), (ADAAG 4.2.4.1 & CA Title 24 1122B.3);	
3-	8.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Knee Space 27"H, 30"W,	
4		19"D), (ADAAG 4.32.3 & CA Title 24 1122B.3);	
5	9.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Table Height 28"-34"),	
6		(ADAAG 4.32.4 & CA Title 24 1122B.4);	
7	10.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Access Aisles Min Width	
8		36"), (ADAAG 5.3 & CA Title 24 1104B.5.4);	
9	11.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Equivalent Services &	
10		Décor @ Accessible Seating), (ADAAG 5.4 & CA Title 24 1104B.5.4);	
11	12.	No Seating - Dining, Banquet & Bar Facilities - (Accessible Seating	
12		Integrated w/General Seating), (ADAAG 5.4 & CA Title 24 1104B.5.4);	
13	13.	No Bathroom - International Symbol of Accessibility, (ADAAG 4.30.6 &	
14		CA Title 24 1117B.5.9);	
15	14.	No Raised Braille Characters (Restroom Signage), (ADAAG 4.30.4 & CA	
16		Title 24 1117B.5.6.1 & .2);	
17	15.	Improper Wall Mount Signage (Latch Side of Door), (ADAAG 4.1.2(7)(d)	
18		and CA Title 24 1117B.5.1.1 & .5.6.3);	
19	16.	Improper Wall Mount Signage (60 inches from the floor), (ADAAG 4.30.6 &	
20		CA Title 24 1117B.5.9);	
21	17.	Improper Door Mount Signage (Men's & Women's), (CA Title 24 1115B.5);	
22	18.	Improper Faucet Fixtures (One Hand Operable), (ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title	
23		24 1508.1 & .2);	
24	19.	Improper Faucets (Max 5 lb Force to Activate), (ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title	
25		24 1508.1 & .2);	
26	20.	Improper Faucets (Lever-Type), (ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1508.1 &	
27	!	.2);	
28			
- 1			

1	21. Improper Water Closet Mounting/Location – Both Walls (Front-Transfer				
2		Stall), (ADAAG 4.23.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.7.1.4);			
3	22.	Improper Width Between Walls - Front Transfer Stall, (ADAAG 4.23.4 &			
4		CA Title 24 1115B.7.1.4);			
5	23.	23. Improper Accessories/Fixtures – Proper Height (Toilet Seat Covers),			
6		(ADAAG 4.23.7 & CA Title 24 1115B.9.2);			
7	24.	Improper Accessories/Fixtures – Proper Height (Paper Towel Operating			
8		Lever), (ADAAG 4.23.7 & CA Title 24 1115B.9.2);			
9	25. Improper Mirror – Mounting Height, (ADAAG 4.19.6 & CA Title 24				
10		1115B.9.1.2);			
11	26.	Improper Urinal Rim Height 17" Max, (ADAAG 4.18.2 & CA Title 24			
12	<u> </u>	1503.2.1);			
13	27.	Improper Flush Valve Urinal – Correct Height 44" Max, (ADAAG 4.18.4 &			
14		CA Title 24 1503.2.2);			
15	28.	Improper Toilet Stall - Proper Size, (ADAAG 4.17.3 & CA Title 24			
16		1115B.1);			
17	29.	Improper Hardware - Opening Door Lock/Latch, (ADAAG 4.13.9 & CA			
18		Title 24 1115B.7.1.4);			
19	30.	Improper Hardware – Handle Inside/Outside Compartment Door, (ADAAG			
20		4.13.9 & CA Title 24 1115B.7.1.4);			
21	31.	No Drain & Hot Water Pipes – Insulated or Covered, (ADAAG 4.24.6)			
22	9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants' facility has in excess of				
23	FIFTY (50) Violations of ADAAG and/or Title 24 at their facility.				
24	10. These violations are believed to have existed for a significant period of time and with				
25	defendant's specific knowledge.				
26	11. Based upon the above facts, Plaintiff as been discriminated against and will continue to be				
27	discriminated against unless and until Defendants are enjoined and forced to cease and desist from				
28	continuing to discriminate against Plaintiff and others similarly situated.				

27

28

(Violation of Civil Rights-American With Disabilities Act)

15. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 14 as though set forth fully herein.

16. Based on the facts asserted above Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. Defendant LONG JOHN SILVER'S RESTAURANT is a public accommodation owned, leased and/or operated by

Complaint for Damages - 6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and equal access to Defendants' facility as required by 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii)(iv); 42 USC 1981 and 42 U.S.C. section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing facilities. 17. Plaintiff has a physical impairment as alleged herein because his condition affects one or more of the following body systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, and/or cardiovascular. Further, his physical impairments substantially limits one or more of the following major life activities: walking. In addition, Plaintiff cannot perform one or more of the said major life activities in the manner speed, and duration when compared to the average person. Moreover, Plaintiff has a history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment as required by 42 U.S.C. section 12102(2)(A).

Claim 2: Failure To Remove Architectural Barriers

18. Based upon the facts alleged herein, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned leased, and/or operated by the named Defendants. Defendants individually and collectively failed to remove barriers as required by 42 U.S.C. 12182(a). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thus alleges that architectural barriers which are structural in nature exist at the following physical elements of Defendants' facilities: Space Allowance and Reach Ranges, Accessible Route, Protruding Objects, Ground and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances, Drinking Fountains and Water Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals, Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, and Controls and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings, Signage, and Telephones. Pursuant to 42 USC section 12182(b)(2)(iv), Title III requires places of public accommodation to remove architectural barriers that are structural in nature within existing facilities. Failure to remove such barriers and disparate treatment against a person who has a known association with a person with a disability are forms of prohibited discrimination. Accordingly, Plaintiff was subjected to

Complaint for Damages - 7

discrimination in violation of 42 USC 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC 12182 (b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 USC 1981 and 42 USC 12188.

Claim 3: Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures

- 19. Based on the facts alleged in this Complaint Defendants failed and refused to provide a reasonable alternative by modifying its practices, policies and procedures in that they failed to have a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and/or others similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' services, as required by 42 U.S.C. section 12188(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 42 U.S.C. 1981 and 42 U.S.C. section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing facilities.
- 20. As a result of the wrongful and discriminatory practices of defendants, plaintiff has suffered actual damages consisting of special damages and general damages in an amount to be determined at time of trial herein.
- 21. Pursuant to the provisions of 42 USC 12188 plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and an order directing defendants to cease and desist from discriminating against plaintiff and others similarly situated and for an order that defendants comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act forthwith.
- 22. Under the provisions of 42 USC 12205 Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys fees and requests that the court grant such fees as are appropriate.

 \mathbf{V}

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Civil Rights 42 U.S.C. 1991)

- 23. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the First Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.
- 24. The provisions of 42 U.S.C. 1981 (As amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991) provide that Plaintiff as a person with disabilities cannot be discriminated against with regard to the ability to enter into, to make or to enforce contracts. In enacting the Civil Rights Act of 1991 congress established a three tier system of remedies for a broad range of discretionary conduct, including violations of the Americans With Disabilities Act, wherein disabled individuals such as plaintiff are denied equal access to facilities they wish to conduct business in and therefore are precluded from

making, entering into and enforcing contracts that plaintiff and others similarly situated may desire to effect.

25. Defendants, because they have individually and/or collectively denied plaintiff access to their premises, goods and services, have denied him the right to make, enter into or enforce a contract and therefore have violated the provisions of 42 U.S.C. 1991 all to Plaintiff's damage in an amount to be determined at time of trial herein.

26. As a result of Defendants' actions Plaintiff was humiliated, embarrassed and discouraged and upset emotionally and physically and suffered damages according to proof.

27. The actions of the Defendants were intentional, outrageous and done with reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and therefore entitle him to an award of punitive damages.

28. By reason of Defendants' actions Plaintiff was caused to incur costs and expenses of litigation, including attorney's fees, to seek and redress his civil rights. Plaintiff therefore seeks an award of costs and attorney's fees associated with the necessity of bringing this lawsuit.

VI

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation Of Civil Rights Under California Accessibility Laws)

29. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Second Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

(a) Denial Of Full And Equal Access

30. Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendants in violation of California Civil Code Sections 54 and 54.1; California Health and Safety Code Section 19955 and California Government Code Section 12948. The actions of Defendants also violate the provisions of Title 24 of the State of California Building Codes with regard to accessibility for persons with disabilities by failing to provide access to Defendants facilities due to violations pertaining to accessible routes, ground and floor surfaces, parking and passenger loading zones, curb ramps, ramps, stairs, elevators, platform lifts (wheelchair

lifts), windows, doors, toilet stalls, urinals, lavatories and mirrors, sinks, storage, handrails, grab bars, controls and operating mechanisms, alarms, detectable warnings, signage and telephones.

31. On the above basis Plaintiff has been wrongfully discriminated against.

(b) Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures

32. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to fail and refuse to provide a reasonable alternative to allow plaintiff equal access to their facility by modifying their practices, policies, and procedures in that that they failed to have a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and others similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' goods or services as required by California Civil Code section 54 and 54.1. Accordingly Defendants have wrongfully discriminated against Plaintiff.

VII

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of The Unruh Civil Rights Act)

- 33. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Third Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.
- 34. Section 51(b) of the Cal. Civ. Code [The Unruh Civil Rights Act], provides in pertinent part:

"All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color, religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, or medical condition is entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

35. Defendants have violated the provisions of Civ. Code 51 (b) by

failing and refusing to provide free and equal access to Plaintiff to their facility on the same basis as other persons not disabled. By their failure to provide equal access to Plaintiff as herein alleged, Defendants have also violated 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) as provided in Cal. Civ. Codes section 51(f).

36. By reason of their acts and denial of Plaintiff's civil rights Defendants also violated the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 52, which makes a person or entity in violation of Cal. Civ. Code 51 liable in the amount of \$4,000 per violation of said statute.

37. Defendants and each of them, at all times prior to and including MARCH 19, 2003 respectively and continuing to the present time, knew that persons with physical disabilities were denied their rights of equal access to all portions of this public facility. Despite such knowledge, Defendants, and each of them, failed and refused to take steps to comply with the applicable access statutes and despite knowledge of the resulting problems and denial of civil rights suffered by Plaintiff and other similarly situated persons with disabilities.

38. Defendants and each of them have failed and refused to take action to grant full and equal access to persons with physical disabilities. Defendants have carried out a course of conduct of refusing to respond to, or correct complaints about unequal access and have refused to comply with their legal obligations to make the subject facility accessible pursuant the ADAAG and the California Building Code [Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations]. Such actions and continuing course of conduct by Defendants, and each of them, evidence despicable conduct in conscious disregard of the rights and/or safety of Plaintiff and those similarly situated and thus justify an award of treble damages pursuant to section 52(a) and 54.3(a) of the Cal. Civ. Code or alternatively an award of punitive damages in an appropriate amount.

39. Plaintiff has suffered emotional and physical damage and continues to suffer such damages all in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

40. Under the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 55 Plaintiff seeks an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs as a result of having to bring this action. Plaintiff requests the court to award such fees in an appropriate amount.

VIII

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair And Unlawful Business Practice)

41. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

42. California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 states in pertinent part:

"As used in this chapter, unfair competition shall mean and include any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act..."

43. Defendants, as alleged herein, are in violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act and Title 24 of the California Building Code, in that they have denied equal access to their places of public accommodation to Plaintiff and others similarly situated to Plaintiff. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to refuse to comply with equal access laws all in violation of 42 USC 12181-12183; 42 USC 1981; and 42 USC 12188. In addition the complained of acts are in violation of California Civil Code Sections 51,52, 54, and 54.1, California Health and Safety Code section 19955 and California Government Code section 12948 all of which require Defendants to provide equal access to their facility to disabled persons such as plaintiff. Defendants are also in violation of the indicated statutes because of their failure to remove architectural barriers, which prevent equal access to their facility by disabled persons and because of their failure to modify their practices, policies and procedures to have a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and others similarly situated to enter and utilize Defendants' services as required by the Unruh Act.

- 44. Defendants' acts are unlawful and unfair and are therefore in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200.
- 45. Pursuant to the provisions of California Business and Professions Code section 17201 Plaintiff is a person as identified within said section and therefore allowed to bring this action on behalf of himself and the general public to effectuate California Business and Professions Code 17200 as provided for within Business and Professions Code section 17204.
- 46. Thus, Plaintiff, under Bus & Prof. Code section 17200 seeks injunctive relief, on behalf of himself and the general public, requiring Defendants to remedy the disabled access violations

27

28

present within Defendants' facility and that Defendants be ordered to cease and desist from continuing in noncompliance with disabled access statutes and regulations.

IX

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)

47. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein. 48. Defendants and each of them owed a duty to Plaintiff to make their facility accessible and to keep Plaintiff reasonably safe from known dangers and risks of harm. This duty arises by virtue of the legal duties proscribed by various federal and state statutes including, but not limited to, ADA, ADAAG, California Civil Code sections 51, 52, 54, 54.1 and Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations. Defendants had a duty of due care not to do or cause anything to happen that would subject Plaintiff to undue stress, embarrassment, chagrin, and discouragement. 49. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiff by the actions and inaction complained of herein and as a result thereof Plaintiff was shocked, discouraged, embarrassed and outraged at the callousness and disregard of Defendants. Defendants knew or had reason to know that by denying Plaintiff equal access to their facility and failing and refusing to remove architectural barriers, Plaintiff would suffer emotional and/or mental distress because of such discrimination and disparate treatment. Defendants breached their duty of care to plaintiff by the perpetration of the acts outlined herein. 50. As a proximate result of the actions of Defendants Plaintiff did suffer emotional and mental

stress and pain and suffering all in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 10
- 11
- 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

- 51. Plaintiff re-alleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.
- 52. The actions of Defendants and each of them are despicable, intentional and done with conscious disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and as such should be regarded as outrageous.
- 53. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional and mental distress all to his damage in an amount to be determined at time of trial.
- 54. Plaintiff seeks an award of punitive damages for this claim as the actions of Defendants are tantamount to outrageous conduct and subject them to exemplary damages.

DEMAND FOR JURY

55. Plaintiff respectfully requests that the claims made herein be heard and determined by a jury.

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF PRAYS:

- 1. For general damages according to proof;
- 2. For special damages according to proof;
- 3. For damages pursuant to Cal. Civil Code section 52, in the amount of \$4,000 for each and every offense of California Civil Code section 51, Title 24 of the California Building Code and the Americans With Disabilities Act.
- 4. For Injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 12188(a) and California Business and **Professions Code section 17200**
- 5. For an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1988, 42 U.S.C. 1981, 42 U.S.C. 12205 and Cal. Civ. Code section 55:
- 6. For treble damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code 52 (a);
- 7. For punitive damages according to proof;
- 8. For a Jury Trial;
- 9. For costs of suit incurred herein and;

10. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

Respectfully submitted.

DATED: 4-18-63

Attorney for Plaintiff, DAN JONES

CIVIL COVER SHEET

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS DAN JONES (b) County of Residence of	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	DEFENDAND LONG JOHN RESTAURAN 7 - 7 2003 AND DOES County of Resider	TS N SILVER'S, LO NT, LONG JOHN 1-10, Inclusi San I	NG JOHN SILVER'S SILVER'S, INC., ve.					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)									
Roy L. Landers (619)296-7898 7840 Mission Center CT, Suite 101 San Diego, CA 92108 703 CV 919 IEG LSP									
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PR (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES(PI	ace an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)					
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	DEF I □ 1 Incorporated or I of Business In	DEF Principal Place					
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 	Citizen of Another State	of Business In	Principal Place 5 5 5 Another State					
		Citizen or Subject of a D Foreign Country	J LJ J Foreign Nation						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT CONTRACT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES					
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of □ Istination □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPE! 370 Other Fraud Liability 371 Truth in Lendin 371 Truth in Lendin 370 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal Property Damag 385 Motor Vehicle 385 Property Damag 385	Geo Other Food & Drug	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Cortupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 890 Other Statutory Actions					
V. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) (Placeding									
IF ANY 2430 83 TOR OFFICE USE ONLY	IUDG E	ATTORNE OF RECORD JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER	DGE					