

US DISTRICT COURT INDEX SHEET



JRL

3:03-CV-2022 JONES V. NEGHBAT

1

CMP

1 Judicial District of the United States District Court of the
2 Southern District of California.

3 (b) Supplemental Jurisdiction. The Judicial District of the
4 United States District Court of the Southern District of
5 California has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims
6 alleged in this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1367(a).
7 Supplemental jurisdiction is appropriate in this action on the
8 basis that all the causes of action or claims derived from federal
9 law and those arising under state law, as herein alleged, arose
10 from a common nucleus of operative facts. The common nucleus of
11 operative facts, include, but are not limited to, the incidents
12 whereby plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendant's
13 facilities, goods, and/or services in violation of both federal
14 and state laws when plaintiff attempted to enter, use, and/or exit
15 Defendant's facilities as described within this Complaint.
16 Further, due to this denial of full and equal access Plaintiff and
17 other person's with disabilities were injured. Based upon such
18 allegations the state actions, as stated herein, are so related to
19 the federal actions that they form part of the same case or
20 controversy, and the actions would ordinarily be expected to be
21 tried in one judicial proceeding.

22 II

23 PARTIES

24 2. Defendant Reza Neghabat, dba Church's Fried Chicken was and at
25 all times herein mentioned was a duly organized business,
26 association, or corporation duly authorized to exist and operate
27 within the State of California and County of San Diego and the
28

1 owners, operators and/or tenants of the premises located at 423
2 San Ysidro Boulevard San Ysidro, Calif.92109.

3 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
4 defendants Gloria Desaspe and Carmen Deestudill are trustees of
5 the Gloria Desaspe and Carmen Deestudill trust, which is the owner
6 and/or landlord of the subject property upon which defendant
7 business is sited.

8 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that
9 each of the named defendants herein operates a business and
10 or/facility of public accommodation as defined and described
11 within 42 USC 12181(7)(B) of the American with Disabilities Act
12 [ADA] and as such must comply with the ADA under provisions of
13 Title III therein.

14 5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the defendants sued as Does 1-10
15 herein, and therefore sues them in their fictitious names as Doe
16 defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges
17 that Does 1-10 are the owners, operators, lessees or tenants of
18 the subject property and each of the Doe defendants at all times
19 herein was acting as the agent and or representative of each other
20 and thereby are responsible in some manner for the injuries and
21 damages complained of herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of court
22 to amend this complaint to name Doe defendants when the same is
23 ascertained.

24 III

25 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

26 6. Plaintiff is disabled and confined to a wheelchair. He has no
27 control over his lower extremities and must use a wheelchair to
28 transport himself and to affect the basic necessities of his

1 everyday existence. Plaintiff's disability substantially limits
2 one or more of life's major activities and therefore he is
3 disabled as defined under 42 USC 12102(2) (A) (B) (C).

4 7. On or about May 20, 2003 plaintiff patronized the premises of
5 defendants to utilize goods and/or services offered by defendants.
6 When Plaintiff attempted to gain access to the goods and/or
7 services offered by defendants he encountered access barriers
8 because the premises failed to comply with federal ADA Access
9 Guidelines For Building and Facilities [hereinafter "ADAAG"];
10 Department of Justice [DOJ] regulations at 28 CFR. 36.201; 36.304
11 and/or the State of California's Title 24 Building Code
12 Requirements.

13 8. The specific difficulty Plaintiff had in entering and utilizing
14 Defendants' facility and which amount to a violation of ADAAG, DOJ
15 regulations and Title 24 of the California Building Code are:

- 16 (a) Access aisle lacks NO PARKING signage as required by Title
17 24 1129B.4.1&2.
- 18 (b) Site entrance signage does not meet requirements of Title
19 24 1129B.5.; ADAAG 4.1.3(16) (b) and Title 24 1127B.3.
- 20 (c) Facility does not provide shortest route of travel for the
21 disabled - ADAAG 4.6.2.
- 22 (d) Facility seating does not meet requirements of ADAAG 5.1
23 and Title 24 1104B.5.4;4.2.4.1 & Title 24 1122B.3;4.32.3&4;
24 5.3 and Title 24 1104B.5.4;
- 25 (e) Lack of raised Braille characters as required by ADAAG
26 4.30.4 and Title 24 1117B.5.6.1&2.

- 1 (f) Wall mount signage (latch side of door) does not meet
2 requirements of ADAAG 4.1.2(7)(d) and Title 24 1117B.5.1.1
3 and 5.6.3.; ADAAG 4.30.6 and Title 24 1117B.5.9.
- 4 (g) Grab bars do not meet requirements of ADAAG 4.17.6;4.14.6
5 and Title 24 1115B.8.1
- 6 (h) Faucet fixtures do not meet requirements of ADAAG 4.27.4
7 and Title 24 1508.1&2.
- 8 (i) Accessories/fixtures are not at proper height per ADAAG
9 4.23.7 and Title 24 1115B.9.2.
- 10 (j) Mirror mounting is too high and in violation of ADAAG
11 4.16.6 and Title 24 1115B.9.1.2;
- 12 (k) Flush valve is on incorrect side in violation of ADAAG
13 4.16.5 & Title 24 1502.0.
- 14 (l) Entrance door kick plate does not comply with Title 24
15 1133B.2.6.

16 9. Based upon the above facts, Plaintiff as been discriminated
17 against and will continue to be discriminated against unless and
18 until Defendants are enjoined and forced to cease and desist from
19 continuing to discriminate against Plaintiff and others similarly
20 situated.

21 10. Pursuant to federal [ADA], Title 28 CFR 36.201; 36.203;
22 36.304; 36.305 and state law [California Title 24], Defendants are
23 required to remove barriers to their existing facilities.

24 Defendants have been put on notice pursuant to the ADA and
25 California Civil Codes [51,52] prior to the statutory effect of
26 the ADA on January 26, 1992 that Defendants and each of them had a
27 duty to remove barriers to persons with disabilities such as
28 plaintiff. Defendants also knew or should have known that

1 individuals such as plaintiff with a disability are not required
2 to give notice to a governmental agency prior to filing suit
3 alleging Defendants' failure to remove architectural barriers.

4 11. Plaintiff believes and thereon allege that Defendants'
5 facilities, as described herein, have other access violations not
6 directly experienced by Plaintiff, which preclude or limit access
7 by others with disabilities, including, but not limited to, Space
8 Allowances, Reach Ranges, Accessible Routes, Protruding Objects,
9 Ground and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones,
10 Curb Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts (Wheelchair
11 Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances, Drinking Fountains, and Water
12 Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals, Lavatories and
13 Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, Telephones,
14 Controls and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings and
15 Signage. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to
16 remove all architectural barriers, known or unknown. Also,
17 Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to utilize the ADA
18 checklist for Readily Achievable Barrier Removal approved by the
19 United States Department of Justice and created by Adaptive
20 Environments.

21 12. Plaintiff desires to return to Defendants' places of business
22 and utilize their facilities without being discriminated against
23 in the immediate future.

24 IV

25 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

26 (Violation of Civil Rights-American With Disabilities Act)

27 13. Plaintiff realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 12
28 as though set forth fully herein.

1 remove barriers as required by 42 U.S.C. 12182(a) and 28 CFR
2 36.304. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thus alleges that
3 architectural barriers which are structural in nature exist at the
4 following physical elements of Defendants' facilities:

5 Space Allowance and Reach Ranges, Accessible Route, Protruding
6 Objects, Ground and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading
7 Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts
8 (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances, Drinking Fountains
9 and Water Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals,

10 Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, and
11 Controls and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings,
12 Signage, and Telephones. Pursuant to 42 USC section

13 12182(b)(2)(iv) and 28 CFR 36.304 Title III requires places of
14 public accommodation to remove architectural barriers that are
15 structural in nature within existing facilities. Failure to remove
16 such barriers and disparate treatment against a person who has a
17 known association with a person with a disability are forms of
18 prohibited discrimination. Accordingly, Plaintiff was subjected to
19 discrimination in violation of 42 USC 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42
20 USC 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC 12188.

21 **Claim 3: Failure To Modify Practices, Policies and Procedures**

22 17. Based on the facts alleged in this Complaint Defendants failed
23 and refused to provide a reasonable alternative by modifying its
24 practices, policies and procedures in that they failed to have a
25 scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and/or others
26 similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' services,
27 as required by 42 U.S.C. section 12188(a). Thus, Plaintiff was
28 subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. section

1 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 28 CFR 36.302 and 42 U.S.C. section 12188
2 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing
3 facilities.

4 18. As a result of the wrongful and discriminatory practices of
5 defendants, plaintiff has suffered actual damages consisting of
6 special damages and general damages in an amount to be determined
7 at time of trial herein.

8 19. Pursuant to the provisions of 42 USC 12188 plaintiff seeks
9 injunctive relief and an order directing defendants to cease and
10 desist from discriminating against plaintiff and others similarly
11 situated and for an order that defendants comply with the
12 Americans With Disabilities Act forthwith.

13 20. Under the provisions of 42 USC 12205 plaintiff is entitled to
14 an award of reasonably attorneys fees and requests that the court
15 grant such fees as are appropriate.

16 **VI**

17 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **(Violation Of Civil Rights Under California Accessibility Laws)**

19 21. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the First Cause of
20 Action as though set forth fully herein.

21 **(a) Denial Of Full And Equal Access**

22 22. Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants'
23 goods services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
24 accommodations within a public accommodation owned, leased, and/or
25 operated by Defendants in violation of California Civil Code
26 Sections 54 and 54.1; California Health and Safety Code Section
27 19955 and California Government Code Section 12948. The actions of
28 Defendants also violate the provisions of Title 24 of the State of

1 California Building Codes with regard to accessibility for persons
2 with disabilities by failing to provide access to Defendants
3 facilities due to violations pertaining to accessible routes,
4 ground and floor surfaces, parking and passenger loading zones,
5 curb ramps, ramps, stairs, elevators, platform lifts (wheelchair
6 lifts), windows, doors, toilet stalls, urinals, lavatories and
7 mirrors, sinks, storage, handrails, grab bars, controls and
8 operating mechanisms
9 alarms, detectable warnings, signage and telephones.

10 23. On the above basis Plaintiff has been wrongfully discriminated
11 against.

12 **(b) Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures**

13 24. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to fail and
14 refuse to provide a reasonable alternative to allow plaintiff
15 equal access to their facility by modifying their practices,
16 policies, and procedures in that that they failed to have s
17 scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and others similarly
18 situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' goods or services
19 as required by California Civil Code section 54 and 54.1.
20 Accordingly Defendants have wrongfully discriminated against
21 Plaintiff.

22 **VII**

23 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **(Violation of The Unruh Civil Rights Act)**

25 25. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Second Cause of
26 Action as though set forth fully herein.

27 26. Section 51(b) of the Cal. Civ. Code [The Unruh Civil Rights
28 Act], provides in pertinent part:

1 "All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are
2 free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color,
3 religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, or medical
4 condition is entitled to the full and equal accommodations,
5 advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all
6 business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

7 27. Defendants have violated the provisions of Civ. Code 51 (b) by
8 failing and refusing to provide free and equal access to Plaintiff
9 to their facility on the same basis as other persons not disabled.

10 By their failure to provide equal access to Plaintiff as herein
11 alleged, Defendants have also violated 42 U.S.C. section

12 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) as provided in Cal. Civ. Codes section 51(f).

13 28. By reason of their acts and denial of Plaintiff's civil rights

14 Defendants also violated the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section

15 52, which makes a person or entity in violation of Cal.Civ. Code

16 51 liable for the actual damages to a Plaintiff including treble

17 damages where appropriate.

18 29. Defendants and each of them, at all times prior to and

19 including May 2003, respectively and continuing to the present

20 time, knew that persons with physical disabilities were denied

21 their rights of equal access to all portions of this public

22 facility. Despite such knowledge, Defendants, and each of them,

23 failed and refused to take steps to comply with the applicable

24 access statutes and despite knowledge of the resulting problems

25 and denial of civil rights suffered by Plaintiff and other

26 similarly situated persons with disabilities.
27
28

1 30. Defendants and each of them have failed and refused to take
2 action to grant full and equal access to persons with physical
3 disabilities. Defendants have carried out a course of conduct of
4 refusing to respond to, or correct complaints about unequal access
5 and have refused to comply with their legal obligations to make
6 the subject facility accessible pursuant the ADAAG and the
7 California Building Code [Title 24 of the California Code of
8 Regulations]. Such actions and continuing course of conduct by
9 Defendants, and each of them, evidence despicable conduct in
10 conscious disregard of the rights and/or safety of Plaintiff and
11 those similarly situated and thus justify an award of treble
12 damages pursuant to section 52(a) and 54.3(a) of the Cal.Civ. Code
13 or alternatively an award of punitive damages in an appropriate
14 amount.
15
16
17

18 31. Plaintiff has suffered emotional and physical damage and
19 continues to suffer such damages all in an amount to be determined
20 at time of trial.

21 32. Under the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 55 Plaintiff
22 seeks an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs as a result
23 of having to bring this action. Plaintiff requests the court to
24 award such fees in an appropriate amount.
25

26 //

27 //

VIII

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unfair And Unlawful Business Practice)

33. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Third Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

34. California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 states in pertinent part:

"As used in this chapter, unfair competition shall mean and include any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act..."

35. Defendants, as alleged herein, are in violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act and Title 24 of the California Building Code, in that they have denied equal access to their places of public accommodation to Plaintiff and others similarly situated to Plaintiff. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to refuse to comply with equal access laws all in violation of 42 USC 12181-12183; 28 CFR 36.304 and 42 USC 12188. In addition the complained of acts are in violation of California Civil Code Sections 51,52, 54,and 54.1 and California Health and Safety Code section 19955 all of which require Defendants to provide equal access to their facility to disabled persons such as plaintiff. Defendants are also in violation of the indicated statutes because of their failure to remove architectural barriers, which prevent equal access to their facility by disabled persons and because of their failure to modify their practices, policies and procedures to have a scheme, plan, or design to

1 assist Plaintiff and others similarly situated to enter and
2 utilize Defendants' services as required by the Unruh Act.

3 36. Defendants' acts are unlawful and unfair and are therefore in
4 violation of California Business and Professions Code section
5 17200.
6

7 37. Pursuant to the provisions of California Business and
8 Professions Code section 17201 Plaintiff is a person as identified
9 within said section and therefore allowed to bring this action on
10 behalf of himself and the general public to effectuate California
11 Business and Professions Code 17200 as provided for within
12 Business and Professions Code section 17204.
13

14 38. Thus, Plaintiff, under Bus & Prof. Code section 17200 seeks
15 injunctive relief, on behalf of himself and the general public,
16 requiring Defendants to remedy the disabled access violations
17 present within Defendants' facility and that Defendants be ordered
18 to cease and desist from continuing in noncompliance with disabled
19 access statutes and regulations.
20

21 **IX**

22 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

23 **(Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)**

24 39. Plaintiff relleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of
25 Action as though set forth fully herein.
26

27 40. Defendants and each of them owed a duty to Plaintiff to make
28 their facility accessible and to keep Plaintiff reasonably safe

1 from known dangers and risks of harm. This duty arises by virtue
2 of the legal duties proscribed by various federal and state
3 statutes including, but not limited to, ADA, ADAAG, California
4 Civil Code sections 51, 52, 54, 54.1 and Title 24 of the
5 California Code of Regulations. Defendants had a duty of due care
6 not to do or cause anything to happen that would subject Plaintiff
7 to undue stress, embarrassment, chagrin, and discouragement.

8
9 41. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiff by the
10 actions and inaction complained of herein and as a result thereof
11 Plaintiff was shocked, discouraged, embarrassed and outraged at
12 the callousness and disregard of Defendants. Defendants knew or
13 had reason to know that by denying Plaintiff equal access to their
14 facility and failing and refusing to remove architectural
15 barriers, Plaintiff would suffer emotional and/or mental distress
16 because of such discrimination and disparate treatment. Defendants
17 breached their duty of care to plaintiff by the perpetration of
18 the acts outlined herein.

19
20
21 42. As a proximate result of the actions of Defendants Plaintiff
22 did suffer emotional and mental stress and pain and suffering all
23 in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

24
25 //

26 //

27 //

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

43. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Fifth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

44. The actions of Defendants and each of them are despicable, intentional and done with conscious disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and as such should be regarded as outrageous.

45. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional and mental distress all to his damage in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

46. Plaintiff seeks an award of punitive damages for this claim as the actions of Defendants are tantamount to outrageous conduct and subject them to exemplary damages.

DEMAND FOR JURY

47. Plaintiff respectfully requests that the claims made herein be heard and determined by a jury.

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF PRAYS:

1. For general damages according to proof;
2. For special damages according to proof;
3. For damages pursuant to Cal. Civil Code section 52, in the amount of \$4,000 for each and every offense of California Civil Code section 51, Title 24 of the California Building Code and the Americans With Disabilities Act.
4. For Injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 12188(a) and California Business and Professions Code section 17200
5. For an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1988, 42 U.S.C. 12205 and Cal. Civ. Code section 55;

- 1 6. For treble damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code 52 (a);
- 2 7. For punitive damages according to proof;
- 3 8. For a Jury Trial;
- 4 9. For costs of suit incurred herein and;
- 5 10. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

6 Respectfully submitted,

7 9-11-03

8 Roy L. Sanders
Attorney for Plaintiff, Dan Jones

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

a) PLAINTIFFS

Dan Jones

FILED

DEFENDANTS

Reza Neghabat et al.

San Diego

03 OCT 10 PM 2:01

San Diego

b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff

(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

County of Residence of First Listed

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT OF CALIFORNIA
SOUTHERN DISTRICT

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.

DEPUTY

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) BY:

Roy L. Landers (619) 296-7898
7840 Mission Center CT, Suite 101
San Diego, CA 92108

Attorneys (If Known)

'03 CV 2022 IEG (JMA)

BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- Citizen of This State 1 1 DEF Incorporated or Principal Place of Business in This State 4 4 DEF
- Citizen of Another State 2 2 DEF Incorporated and Principal Place of Business in Another State 5 5 DEF
- Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country 3 3 DEF Foreign Nation 6 6 DEF

NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of 191 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury—Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury—Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		

ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

I. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.)
 42 USC 12101-12102, 12181-12183 and 12201, et seq.
 Discrimination on basis of disability (Public Accommodations)

II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: DEMAND \$ _____ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
 JURY DEMAND: Yes No

III. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE _____ DOCKET NUMBER _____

NOTE: 10/10/03
 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD: *Roy L. Landers*

RECEIPT # 97875 AMOUNT \$150.00 APPLYING IFP _____ JUDGE _____ MAG. JUDGE _____

10/10/03 RB