

1 LAW OFFICES OF ROY L. LANDERS
2 ROY L. LANDERS (BAR #64920)
3 LaTOYA S. REDD (BAR # 218342)
4 7840 MISSION CENTER COURT, SUITE 101
5 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108
6 TELEPHONE (619) 296-7898
7 FACSIMILE (619) 296-5611

8 Attorney for Plaintiff, Faustino Ambaosio

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 WESTERN DIVISION

12 CV04-5253 DSF

13 FAUSTINO AMBAOSIO,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 KUOR FEY CHAU, dba L B
17 FUEL; CHY Y. CHAU; CHHOEUNG
18 DE; CHAN Y. DE AND DOES 1-10,
19 INCLUSIVE,

20 Defendants.

21) Case No.:
22)
23) COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES RE:
24) VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS ON
25) BASIS OF DISCRIMINATION IN
26) PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS;
27) NEGLIGENT INFLECTION OF
28) EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;
29) INTENTIONAL INFLECTION OF
30) EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;
31) DECLARATORY RELIEF; DEMAND
32) FOR JURY TRIAL

(E)

ORIGINAL

13

2004 JUL 13 AM 11:14
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

FILED

33 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

34 1. (a) Jurisdiction of this action is invoked on the basis of 28 USC 1331 and 1343, 42
35 USC 12101-12102, 12181-12183 and 12201, et. seq., which is applicable to causes of
36 action where persons with disabilities have been denied their civil rights. Venue in
37 the Central Judicial District of California in the United States District Court is in
38 accord with 28. U.S.C. Section 1391(b) because a substantial part of Plaintiff's claims

DOCKETED ON CM

JUL 16 2004

BY _____ 001

I/S
S/20

1 arose within the Judicial District of the United States District Court of the Central
2 District of California.

3 (b) Supplemental Jurisdiction. The Judicial District of the United States District
4 Court of the Central District of California has supplemental jurisdiction over the state
5 claims alleged in this Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a).
6 Supplemental jurisdiction is appropriate in this action on the basis that all the causes
7 of action or claims derived from federal law and those arising under state law, as
8 herein alleged, arose from a common nucleus of operative facts. The common
9 nucleus of operative facts, include, but are not limited to, the incidents whereby
10 Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendant's facilities, goods, and/or
11 services in violation of both federal and state laws when Plaintiff attempted to enter,
12 use, and/or exit Defendant's facilities as described within this Complaint. Further, due
13 to this denial of full and equal access, Plaintiff and other person's with disabilities
14 were injured. Based upon such allegations, the state actions, as stated herein, are so
15 related to the federal actions that they form part of the same case or controversy, and
16 the actions would ordinarily be expected to be tried in one judicial proceeding.

17 II

18 PARTIES

19 2. Defendant, Kuor Fey Chau, dba L B Fuel was and at all times herein mentioned,
20 was a duly organized business, association, or corporation duly authorized to exist
21 and operate within the State of California and County of Los Angeles and the owner,
22 lessee, or tenant of the premises located at 127 West Pacific Coast Highway Long
23 Beach, California 90806.

24 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants Kuor Fey
25 Chau, Chy Y. Chau, Chhoeung De and Chan Y. De are the owners and/or landlords
26 of the subject property upon which Defendants' business is sited.

27 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the named
28 defendants herein operate a business and or/facility of public accommodation as

1 defined and described within 42 USC 12181(7)(e)(f) of the American with
2 Disabilities Act [ADA] and, as such, must comply with the ADA under provisions of
3 Title III therein.

4 5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the Defendants sued as Does 1-10 herein, and therefore sues
5 them in their fictitious names as Doe Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes
6 and thereon alleges that Does 1-10 are the owners, operators, lessees or tenants of the
7 subject property and each of the Doe Defendants at all times herein were acting as the
8 agent and or representative of each other and, thereby, are responsible in some
9 manner for the injuries and damages complained of herein. Plaintiff will seek leave of
10 the court to amend this complaint to name Doe Defendants when the same is
11 ascertained.

12 III

13 GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

14 6. Plaintiff is a male who is disabled and confined to a wheelchair. He has no
15 control over his lower extremities and must use a wheelchair to transport himself and
16 to affect the basic necessities of his everyday existence. Plaintiff's disability
17 substantially limits one or more of life's major activities and therefore he is disabled
18 as defined under section 42 USC 12102(2)(A)(B)(C).

19 7. On or about March 18, 2004 Plaintiff patronized the premises of Defendants to
20 utilize goods and/or services offered by Defendants. When Plaintiff attempted to
21 gain access to the goods and/or services offered by Defendants he encountered access
22 barriers because the premises failed to comply with federal ADA Access Guidelines
23 For Building and Facilities [hereinafter "ADAAG"]; Department of Justice [DOJ]
24 regulations at 28 CFR. 36.201; 36.304 and/or the State of California's Title 24
25 Building Code Requirements.

26 8. The specific difficulty Plaintiff had in entering and utilizing Defendants' facility
27 and which amount to a violation of ADAAG, DOJ regulations and Title 24 of the
28 California Building Code are:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

- 1 Site Entrance Signage (Does Not Exist)
(CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 1 Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out - Reclaim at.)
(CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 1 Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out – Telephone number:)
(CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 1 Site Entrance Signage (Size not less than 17” x 22”)
(CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 1 Site Entrance Signage (Lettering not less than 1” in Height)
(CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 1 Site Entrance Signage (Warning Information)
(CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking - Space
(ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Width
(ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Length
(ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Signage
(ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 1 Designated Parking Stalls – Correct Number of Stalls
(ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(a) & CA Title 24 1129B.1)
- 1 Warning – Access Aisle - (NO PARKING)
(CA Title 24 1129B.4.1 & 2)
- 1 Warning – Access Aisle - (12” High Minimum)
(CA Title 24 1129B.4.1 & 2)

- 1 1 Regular Access Aisle – Exist/Improper
2 (CA Title 24 1129B.4.1)
- 3 1 Regular Access Aisle - Width
4 (CA Title 24 1129B.4.1)
- 5 1 Regular Access Aisle - Length
6 (CA Title 24 1129B.4.1)
- 7 1 Van Accessible Aisle – Exist/Improper
8 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 9 1 Van Accessible Aisle - Width
10 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 11 1 Van Accessible Aisle - Length
12 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 13 1 Van Accessible Aisle - (Passenger Side)
14 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 15 1 Signage Installed - (Each Space)
16 (ADAAG 4.6.4 & CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 17 1 Additional Signage - (Van Accessible)
18 (ADAAG 4.6.4 & CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 19 1 Access Route - A Visible Route of Travel
20 (ADAAG 4.1.3(2), 4.3.2(2) & CA Title 24 1114B.1.2)
- 21 1 Shortest Route of Travel to Accessible Entrance
22 (ADAAG 4.6.2)
- 23 1 Designated Disabled Parking Space Signage – Proper Height
24 (ADAAG 4.6.4 & CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 25 1 Parking Space Emblem – Proper Size
26 (ADAAG 4.6.4 & CA Title 24 1129B.5.1 &.2)
- 27
28

1 1 Disabled Parking Stall Positioned Correctly (No Travel Behind Other
2 Vehicles)(CA Title 24 1129.B.4.3)

3 1 Entrance – Signage at Every Entrance/Exit, Accessibility
4 (ADAAG 4.1.3(16)(b) & CA Title 24 1127B.3)

5 1 Bathroom – International Symbol of Accessibility at Accessible
6 Restroom (ADAAG 4.30.6 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.9)

7 1 Bathroom – International Symbol of Accessibility - Pictogram Min 6”
8 High (ADAAG 4.30.4 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.5.2)

9 1 Bathroom – International Symbol of Accessibility – Verbal Description
10 Below(ADAAG 4.30.4 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.5.2)

11 1 Raised Braille Characters - (Restroom Wall Signage)
12 (ADAAG 4.30.4 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.6.1 & .2)

13 1 Door Mount Signage (Men's & Women's)
14 (CA Title 24 1115B.5)

15 1 Door Signage (Color Distinctively Contrast w/Door Color)
16 (CA Title 24 1115B.5)

17 9. Based upon the above facts, Plaintiff as been discriminated against and will
18 continue to be discriminated against unless and until Defendants are enjoined and
19 forced to cease and desist from continuing to discriminate against Plaintiff and others
20 similarly situated.

21 10. Pursuant to Federal [ADA], Title 28 CFR 36.201; 36.203; 36.304; 36.305 and
22 state law [California Title 24], Defendants are required to remove barriers to their
23 existing facilities. Defendants have been put on notice pursuant to the ADA and
24 California Civil Codes [51,52] prior to the statutory effect of the ADA on January 26,
25 1992 that Defendants and each of them had a duty to remove barriers to persons with
26 disabilities such as plaintiff. Defendants also knew or should have known that
27 individuals such as Plaintiff with a disability are not required to give notice to a
28

1 governmental agency prior to filing suit alleging Defendants' failure to remove
2 architectural barriers.

3 11. Plaintiff believes and thereon allege that Defendants' facilities, as described
4 herein, have other access violations not directly experienced by Plaintiff, which
5 preclude or limit access by others with disabilities, including, but not limited to,
6 Space Allowances, Reach Ranges, Accessible Routes, Protruding Objects, Ground
7 and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps,
8 Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances,
9 Drinking Fountains, and Water Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals,
10 Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, Telephones, Controls
11 and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings and Signage. Accordingly,
12 Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to remove all architectural barriers, known
13 or unknown. Also, Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to utilize the ADA
14 checklist for Readily Achievable Barrier Removal approved by the United States
15 Department of Justice and created by Adaptive Environments.

16 12. Plaintiff desires to return to Defendants' places of business and utilize their
17 facilities without being discriminated against in the immediate future.

18 IV

19 FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

20 (Violation of Civil Rights-American With Disabilities Act)

21 13. Plaintiff realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 12 as though set forth
22 fully herein.

23 **Claim 1: Denial of Full and Equal Access**

24 14. Based on the facts asserted above Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access
25 to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations.
26 Defendant business is a public accommodation owned, leased and/or operated by
27 Defendants and each of them. Defendants' existing facilities and/or services failed to
28 provide full and equal access to Defendants' facility as required by 42 U.S.C. Section

1 12182(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C.
2 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii)(iv) and 42 U.S.C. section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied
3 equal access to Defendants' existing facilities.

4 15. Plaintiff has a physical impairment as alleged herein because his condition affects
5 one or more of the following body systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special
6 sense organs, and/or cardiovascular. Further, his physical impairments substantially
7 limits one or more of the following major life activities: [walking]. In addition,
8 Plaintiff cannot perform one or more of the said major life activities in the manner
9 speed, and duration when compared to the average person. Moreover, Plaintiff has a
10 history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment as required by 42
11 U.S.C. section 12102(2)(A).

12 **Claim 2: Failure To Remove Architectural Barriers**

13 16. Based upon the facts alleged herein, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to
14 Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
15 within a public accommodation owned leased, and/or operated by the named
16 Defendants. Defendants individually and collectively failed to remove barriers as
17 required by 42 U.S.C. 12182(a) and 28 CFR 36.304. Plaintiff is informed and
18 believes, and thus alleges that architectural barriers which are structural in nature
19 exist at the following physical elements of Defendants' facilities:

20 Space Allowance and Reach Ranges, Accessible Route, Protruding Objects, Ground
21 and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps,
22 Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances,
23 Drinking Fountains and Water Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals,
24 Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, and Controls and
25 Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings, Signage, and Telephones.
26 Pursuant to 42 USC section 12182(b)(2)(iv) and 28 CFR 36.304 Title III requires
27 places of public accommodation to remove architectural barriers that are structural in
28 nature within existing facilities. Failure to remove such barriers and disparate

1 treatment against a person who has a known association with a person with a
2 disability are forms of prohibited discrimination. Accordingly, Plaintiff was subjected
3 to discrimination in violation of 42 USC 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC 12182
4 (b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC 12188.

5 **Claim 3: Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures**

6 17. Based on the facts alleged in this Complaint Defendants failed and refused to
7 provide a reasonable alternative by modifying its practices, policies and procedures in
8 that they failed to have a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and/or others
9 similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' services, as required by 42
10 U.S.C. Section 12188(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation
11 of 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 28 CFR 36.302 and 42 U.S.C. section 12188
12 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing facilities.

13 18. As a result of the wrongful and discriminatory practices of defendants, plaintiff
14 has suffered actual damages consisting of special damages and general damages in an
15 amount to be determined at time of trial herein.

16 19. Pursuant to the provisions of 42 USC 12188 plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and
17 an order directing defendants to cease and desist from discriminating against plaintiff
18 and others similarly situated and for an order that defendants comply with the
19 Americans With Disabilities Act forthwith.

20 20. Under the provisions of 42 USC 12205 Plaintiff is entitled to an award of
21 reasonably attorneys fees and requests that the court grant such fees as are
22 appropriate.

23 **VI**

24 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

25 **(Violation Of Civil Rights Under California Accessibility Laws)**

26 21. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the First Cause of Action as though set forth
27 fully herein.

28 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

(a) Denial Of Full And Equal Access

22. Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendants in violation of California Civil Code Sections 54 and 54.1 and California Health and Safety Code Section 19955. The actions of Defendants also violate the provisions of Title 24 of the State of California Building Codes with regard to accessibility for persons with disabilities by failing to provide access to Defendants facilities due to violations pertaining to accessible routes, ground and floor surfaces, parking and passenger loading zones, curb ramps, ramps, stairs, elevators, platform lifts (wheelchair lifts), windows, doors, toilet stalls, urinals, lavatories and mirrors, sinks, storage, handrails, grab bars, controls and operating mechanisms alarms, detectable warnings, signage and telephones.

23. On the above basis Plaintiff has been wrongfully discriminated against.

(b) Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures

24. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to fail and refuse to provide a reasonable alternative to allow plaintiff equal access to their facility by modifying their practices, policies, and procedures in that that they failed to have s scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and others similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' goods or services as required by California Civil Code section 54 and 54.1. Accordingly Defendants have wrongfully discriminated against Plaintiff.

VII
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(Violation of The Unruh Civil Rights Act)

25. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Second Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

26. Section 51(b) of the Cal. Civ. Code [The Unruh Civil Rights Act], provides in pertinent part:

1 "All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are
2 free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color,
3 religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, or medical
4 condition is entitled to the full and equal accommodations,
5 advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all
6 business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

6 27. Defendants have violated the provisions of Civ. Code 51 (b) by
7 failing and refusing to provide free and equal access to Plaintiff on the
8 same basis as other persons not disabled. By their failure to provide equal access to
9 Plaintiff as herein alleged, Defendants have also violated 42 U.S.C. section
10 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) as provided in Cal. Civ. Codes section 51(f).
11

12 28. By reason of their acts and denial of Plaintiff's civil rights Defendants also
13 violated the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 52, which makes a person or entity
14 in violation of Cal.Civ. Code 51 liable for the actual damages to a Plaintiff including
15 treble damages where appropriate.
16

17 29. Defendants and each of them, at all times prior to and including March 2004,
18 respectively and continuing to the present time, knew that persons with physical
19 disabilities were denied their rights of equal access to all portions of this public
20 facility. Despite such knowledge, Defendants, and each of them, failed and refused to
21 take steps to comply with the applicable access statutes and despite knowledge of the
22 resulting problems and denial of civil rights suffered by Plaintiff and other similarly
23 situated persons with disabilities.
24
25
26
27
28

1 30. Defendants and each of them have failed and refused to take action to grant full
2 and equal access to person with physical disabilities. Defendants have carried out a
3 course of conduct of refusing to respond to, or correct complaints about unequal
4 access and have refused to comply with their legal obligations to make the subject
5 facility accessible pursuant the ADAAG and the California Building Code [Title 24
6 of the California Code of Regulations]. Such actions and continuing course of
7 conduct by Defendants, and each of them, evidence despicable conduct in conscious
8 disregard of the rights and/or safety of Plaintiff and those similarly situated and thus
9 justify an award of treble damages pursuant to section 52(a) and 54.3(a) of the
10 Cal.Civ. Code or alternatively an award of punitive damages in an appropriate
11 amount.
12

13
14
15
16
17 31. Plaintiff has suffered emotional and physical damage and continues to suffer such
18 damages all in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

19
20 32. Under the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 55 Plaintiff seeks an award of
21 reasonable attorney's fees and costs as a result of having to bring this action. Plaintiff
22 requests the court to award such fees in an appropriate amount.
23

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

VIII

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)

33. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Third Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

34. Defendants and each of them owed a duty to Plaintiff to make their facility accessible and to keep Plaintiff reasonably safe from known dangers and risks of harm. This duty arises by virtue of the legal duties proscribed by various federal and state statutes including, but not limited to, ADA, ADAAG, California Civil Code sections 51, 52, 54, 54.1 and Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations.

Defendants had a duty of due care not to do or cause anything to happen that would subject Plaintiff to undue stress, embarrassment, chagrin, and discouragement.

35. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiff by the actions and inaction complained of herein and as a result thereof Plaintiff was shocked, discouraged, embarrassed and outraged at the callousness and disregard of Defendants. Defendants knew or had reason to know that by denying Plaintiff equal access to their facility and failing and refusing to remove architectural barriers, Plaintiff would suffer emotional and/or mental distress because of such discrimination and disparate treatment.

Defendants breached their duty of care to plaintiff by the perpetration of the acts outlined herein.

1 36. As a proximate result of the actions of Defendants Plaintiff did suffer emotional
2 and mental stress and pain and suffering all in an amount to be determined at time of
3 trial.
4

5 **IX**

6 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

7 **(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)**

8 37. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set
9 forth fully herein.

10 38. The actions of Defendants and each of them are despicable, intentional and done
11 with conscious disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and as such should be
12 regarded at outrageous.

13 39. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions Plaintiff has suffered severe
14 emotional and mental distress all to his damage in an amount to be determined at time
15 of trial.

16 40. Plaintiff seeks an award of punitive damages for this claim as the actions of
17 Defendants are tantamount to outrageous conduct and subject them to exemplary
18 damages.

19 **X**

20 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

21 **(DECLARATORY RELIEF)**

22 41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation
23 contained in paragraphs 1-40 of this complaint as though set forth fully herein.

24 42. An actual controversy now exists in that plaintiff is informed and believes and
25 thereon alleges that Defendants' premises are in violation of the disabled access laws
26 of the State of California including, but not limited to, Civil Code Sections 51, et seq.,
27 Section 52, et seq., Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations and Title III of the
28

1 Americans with Disabilities Act and the Americans with Disabilities Access
2 Guidelines (ADAAG).

3 43. A declaration of Plaintiff's rights is necessary and appropriate in order for the
4 parties to this action to know their respective rights and duties. Accordingly, the court
5 should make a declaration of the rights of the parties.

6 WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF PRAYS:

- 7 1. For general damages according to proof;
8 2. For special damages according to proof;
9 3. For damages pursuant to Cal. Civil Code section 52, in the amount of \$4,000 for
10 each and every offense of California Civil Code section 51, Title 24 of the California
11 Building Code and the Americans with Disabilities Act.
12 4. For Injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 12188(a) and for declaratory relief;
13 5. For an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1988, 42 U.S.C. 12205 and
14 Cal. Civ. Code section 55;
15 6. For treble damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code 52 (a);
16 7. For punitive damages according to proof;
17 8. For a Jury Trial;
18 9. For costs of suit incurred herein and;
19 10. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

20 **DEMAND FOR JURY**

21 Plaintiff respectfully requests that the claims made herein be heard and determined by
22 a jury.

23 Respectfully submitted,

THE LAW OFFICES OF ROY L. LANDERS

24
25 Dated: 7/4/04

By: *Roy L. Landers*

Attorney for Plaintiff,
Faustino Ambaosio