

1 LAW OFFICES OF ROY L. LANDERS  
2 ROY L. LANDERS (BAR #64920)  
3 LaTOYA S. REDD (BAR # 218342)  
4 7840 MISSION CENTER COURT, SUITE 101  
5 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108  
6 TELEPHONE (619) 296-7898  
7 FACSIMILE (619) 296-5611

8 Attorney for Plaintiff, Faustino Ambaosio

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
11 SOUTHERN DIVISION

ORIGINAL  
2004 JUN - 2 PM 4: 18  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

12 FAUSTINO AMBAOSIO,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 TACOS MEXICO, INC.; RAUL PINEDA, dba  
16 TACOS MEXICO; ROHRS INVESTMENT  
17 COMPANY AND DOES 1-10, INCLUSIVE

18 Defendants.

Case No.:

SACV04-628 CJC (ANx)

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES RE:  
VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS ON BASIS  
OF DISCRIMINATION IN PUBLIC  
ACCOMMODATIONS; NEGLIGENT  
INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;  
INTENTIONAL INFLECTION OF  
EMOTIONAL DISTRESS; DECLARATORY  
RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

19 I

20 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

21 1. (a) Jurisdiction of this action is invoked on the basis of 28 USC 1331 and 1343, 42 USC 12101-  
22 12102, 12181-12183 and 12201, et. seq., which is applicable to causes of action where persons with  
23 disabilities have been denied their civil rights. Venue in the Central Judicial District of California in  
24 the United States District Court is in accord with 28. U.S.C. Section 1391(b) because a substantial  
25 part of Plaintiff's claims arose within the Judicial District of the United States District Court of the  
26 Central District of California.

27 (b) Supplemental Jurisdiction. The Judicial District of the United States District Court of the  
28 Central District of California has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims alleged in this

DOCKETED ON  
JUN - 8 2004  
BY [Signature] 070

1 Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a). Supplemental jurisdiction is appropriate in this  
2 action on the basis that all the causes of action or claims derived from federal law and those arising  
3 under state law, as herein alleged, arose from a common nucleus of operative facts. The common  
4 nucleus of operative facts, include, but are not limited to, the incidents whereby Plaintiff was denied  
5 full and equal access to Defendant's facilities, goods, and/or services in violation of both federal and  
6 state laws when Plaintiff attempted to enter, use, and/or exit Defendant's facilities as described  
7 within this Complaint. Further, due to this denial of full and equal access, Plaintiff and other  
8 person's with disabilities were injured. Based upon such allegations, the state actions, as stated  
9 herein, are so related to the federal actions that they form part of the same case or controversy, and  
10 the actions would ordinarily be expected to be tried in one judicial proceeding.

## 11 II

### 12 PARTIES

13 2. Defendant, Tacos Mexico, Inc., and Raul Pineda, dba Tacos Mexico was and at all times herein  
14 mentioned, were duly organized businesses, associations, or corporations duly authorized to exist  
15 and operate within the State of California and County of Orange and the owners, lessees, or tenants  
16 of the premises located at 1227 17<sup>th</sup> Street Santa Ana, California.

17 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants Rohrs Investment Company  
18 and Raul Pineda are the owners and/or landlords of the subject property upon which Defendants'  
19 business is sited.

20 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the named defendants herein  
21 operate a business and or/facility of public accommodation as defined and described within 42 USC  
22 12181(7)(b) of the American with Disabilities Act [ADA] and, as such, must comply with the ADA  
23 under provisions of Title III therein.

24 5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the Defendants sued as Does 1-10 herein, and therefore sues them in their  
25 fictitious names as Doe Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does  
26 1-10 are the owners, operators, lessees or tenants of the subject property and each of the Doe  
27 Defendants at all times herein were acting as the agent and or representative of each other and,  
28 thereby, are responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages complained of herein.

1 Plaintiff will seek leave of the court to amend this complaint to name Doe Defendants when the  
2 same is ascertained.

3 **III**

4 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

5 6. Plaintiff is a male who is disabled and confined to a wheelchair. He has no control over his  
6 lower extremities and must use a wheelchair to transport himself and to affect the basic necessities  
7 of his everyday existence. Plaintiff's disability substantially limits one or more of life's major  
8 activities and therefore he is disabled as defined under section 42 USC 12102(2)(A)(B)(C).

9 7. On or about March 30, 2004 Plaintiff patronized the premises of Defendants to utilize goods  
10 and/or services offered by Defendants. When Plaintiff attempted to gain access to the goods and/or  
11 services offered by Defendants he encountered access barriers because the premises failed to  
12 comply with federal ADA Access Guidelines For Building and Facilities [hereinafter "ADAAG"];  
13 Department of Justice [DOJ] regulations at 28 CFR. 36.201; 36.304 and/or the State of California's  
14 Title 24 Building Code Requirements.

15 8. The specific difficulty Plaintiff had in entering and utilizing Defendants' facility and which  
16 amount to a violation of ADAAG, DOJ regulations and Title 24 of the California Building Code  
17 are:

18 **TOTAL NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS (57)**

19 1 Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out - Reclaim at:)

20 (CA Title 24 1129B.5)

21 1 Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out - Telephone number:)

22 (CA Title 24 1129B.5)

23 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking - Space

24 (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)

25 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Width

26 (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)

- 1 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Length  
2 (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 3 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Signage  
4 (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 5 3 Warning – Access Aisle - (NO PARKING)  
6 (CA Title 24 1129B.4.1 & 2)
- 7 3 Warning – Access Aisle - (12" High Minimum)  
8 (CA Title 24 1129B.4.1 & 2)
- 9 1 Van Accessible Aisle – Exist/Improper  
10 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 11 1 Van Accessible Aisle - Width  
12 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 13 1 Van Accessible Aisle - Length  
14 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 15 1 Van Accessible Aisle - (Passenger Side)  
16 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 17 1 Additional Signage - (Van Accessible)  
18 (ADAAG 4.6.4 & CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 19 1 Access Route - A Visible Route of Travel  
20 (ADAAG 4.1.3(2), 4.3.2(2) & CA Title 24 1114B.1.2)
- 21 1 Shortest Route of Travel to Accessible Entrance  
22 (ADAAG 4.6.2)
- 23 2 Entrance – Signage at Every Entrance/Exit, Accessibility  
24 (ADAAG 4.1.3(16)(b) & CA Title 24 1127B.3)
- 25 2 Bathroom – International Symbol of Accessibility at Accessible Restroom  
26 (ADAAG 4.30.6 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.9)
- 27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

- 2 Bathroom – International Symbol of Accessibility - Pictogram Min 6” High  
(ADAAG 4.30.4 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.5.2)
- 2 Bathroom – International Symbol of Accessibility – Verbal Description Below  
(ADAAG 4.30.4 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.5.2)
- 2 Raised Braille Characters - (Restroom Wall Signage)  
(ADAAG 4.30.4 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.6.1 & .2)
- 2 Wall Mount Signage (Latch Side of Door)  
(ADAAG 4.1.2(7)(d) and CA Title 24 1117B.5.1.1 & .5.6.3)
- 2 Wall Mount Signage (60 inches from the floor)  
(ADAAG 4.30.6 & CA Title 24 1117B.5.9)
- 4 Faucet Fixtures - (One Hand Operable)  
(ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1508.1 & .2)
- 4 Faucets Fixtures - (Max 5 lb Force to Activate)  
(ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1508.1 & .2)
- 4 Faucets Fixtures - (Lever-Type)  
(ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1508.1 & .2)
- 2 Accessories/Fixtures – Proper Height (Dryer)  
(ADAAG 4.23.7 & CA Title 24 1115B.9.2)
- 2 Mirror – Mounting Height  
(ADAAG 4.19.6 & CA Title 24 1115B.9.1.2)
- 4 Hardware – Opening Door Knobs  
(ADAAG 4.13.9 & CA Title 24 1133B.2.5.1)
- 2 Hardware – Opening Door Lock/Latch  
(ADAAG 4.13.9 & CA Title 24 1115B.7.1.4)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Doors- Effort to Operate (Interior 5lbs Max Force)

(ADAAAG 4.13.11(2) (b) & CA Title 24 1133B.2.5)

9. Based upon the above facts, Plaintiff as been discriminated against and will continue to be discriminated against unless and until Defendants are enjoined and forced to cease and desist from continuing to discriminate against Plaintiff and others similarly situated.

10. Pursuant to Federal [ADA], Title 28 CFR 36.201; 36.203; 36.304; 36.305 and state law [California Title 24], Defendants are required to remove barriers to their existing facilities. Defendants have been put on notice pursuant to the ADA and California Civil Codes [51,52] prior to the statutory effect of the ADA on January 26, 1992 that Defendants and each of them had a duty to remove barriers to persons with disabilities such as plaintiff. Defendants also knew or should have known that individuals such as Plaintiff with a disability are not required to give notice to a governmental agency prior to filing suit alleging Defendants' failure to remove architectural barriers.

11. Plaintiff believes and thereon allege that Defendants' facilities, as described herein, have other access violations not directly experienced by Plaintiff, which preclude or limit access by others with disabilities, including, but not limited to, Space Allowances, Reach Ranges, Accessible Routes, Protruding Objects, Ground and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances, Drinking Fountains, and Water Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals, Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, Telephones, Controls and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings and Signage. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to remove all architectural barriers, known or unknown. Also, Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to utilize the ADA checklist for Readily Achievable Barrier Removal approved by the United States Department of Justice and created by Adaptive Environments.

12. Plaintiff desires to return to Defendants' places of business and utilize their facilities without being discriminated against in the immediate future.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IV

**FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(Violation of Civil Rights-American With Disabilities Act)**

13. Plaintiff realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 12 as though set forth fully herein.

**Claim 1: Denial of Full and Equal Access**

14. Based on the facts asserted above Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. Defendant business is a public accommodation owned, leased and/or operated by Defendants and each of them. Defendants' existing facilities and/or services failed to provide full and equal access to Defendants' facility as required by 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii)(iv) and 42 U.S.C. section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing facilities.

15. Plaintiff has a physical impairment as alleged herein because his condition affects one or more of the following body systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, and/or cardiovascular. Further, his physical impairments substantially limits one or more of the following major life activities: [walking]. In addition, Plaintiff cannot perform one or more of the said major life activities in the manner speed, and duration when compared to the average person. Moreover, Plaintiff has a history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment as required by 42 U.S.C. section 12102(2)(A).

**Claim 2: Failure To Remove Architectural Barriers**

16. Based upon the facts alleged herein, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned leased, and/or operated by the named Defendants. Defendants individually and collectively failed to remove barriers as required by 42 U.S.C. 12182(a) and 28 CFR 36.304. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thus alleges that architectural barriers which are structural in nature exist at the following physical elements of Defendants' facilities:  
Space Allowance and Reach Ranges, Accessible Route, Protruding Objects, Ground and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform

1 Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances, Drinking Fountains and Water Coolers,  
2 Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals, Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars,  
3 and Controls and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings, Signage, and Telephones.  
4 Pursuant to 42 USC section 12182(b)(2)(iv) and 28 CFR 36.304 Title III requires places of public  
5 accommodation to remove architectural barriers that are structural in nature within existing  
6 facilities. Failure to remove such barriers and disparate treatment against a person who has a known  
7 association with a person with a disability are forms of prohibited discrimination. Accordingly,  
8 Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 USC 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC  
9 12182 (b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC 12188.

10 **Claim 3: Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures**

11 17. Based on the facts alleged in this Complaint Defendants failed and refused to provide a  
12 reasonable alternative by modifying its practices, policies and procedures in that they failed to have  
13 a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and/or others similarly situated in entering and utilizing  
14 Defendants' services, as required by 42 U.S.C. Section 12188(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to  
15 discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 28 CFR 36.302 and 42 U.S.C.  
16 section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing facilities.

17 18. As a result of the wrongful and discriminatory practices of defendants, plaintiff has suffered  
18 actual damages consisting of special damages and general damages in an amount to be determined  
19 at time of trial herein.

20 19. Pursuant to the provisions of 42 USC 12188 plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and an order  
21 directing defendants to cease and desist from discriminating against plaintiff and others similarly  
22 situated and for an order that defendants comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act  
23 forthwith.

24 20. Under the provisions of 42 USC 12205 Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonably attorneys  
25 fees and requests that the court grant such fees as are appropriate.

26 //

27 //

28 //



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

VI

**SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(Violation Of Civil Rights Under California Accessibility Laws)**

21. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the First Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

**(a) Denial Of Full And Equal Access**

22. Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendants in violation of California Civil Code Sections 54 and 54.1 and California Health and Safety Code Section 19955. The actions of Defendants also violate the provisions of Title 24 of the State of California Building Codes with regard to accessibility for persons with disabilities by failing to provide access to Defendants facilities due to violations pertaining to accessible routes, ground and floor surfaces, parking and passenger loading zones, curb ramps, ramps, stairs, elevators, platform lifts (wheelchair lifts), windows, doors, toilet stalls, urinals, lavatories and mirrors, sinks, storage, handrails, grab bars, controls and operating mechanisms alarms, detectable warnings, signage and telephones.

23. On the above basis Plaintiff has been wrongfully discriminated against.

**(b) Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures**

24. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to fail and refuse to provide a reasonable alternative to allow plaintiff equal access to their facility by modifying their practices, policies, and procedures in that that they failed to have s scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and others similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' goods or services as required by California Civil Code section 54 and 54.1. Accordingly Defendants have wrongfully discriminated against Plaintiff.

VII

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

**(Violation of The Unruh Civil Rights Act)**

25. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Second Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

26. Section 51(b) of the Cal. Civ. Code [The Unruh Civil Rights Act], provides in pertinent part:

1 "All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are  
2 free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color,  
3 religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, or medical  
4 condition is entitled to the full and equal accommodations,  
5 advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all  
6 business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

7 27. Defendants have violated the provisions of Civ. Code 51 (b) by  
8 failing and refusing to provide free and equal access to Plaintiff to their facility on the same basis as  
9 other persons not disabled. By their failure to provide equal access to Plaintiff as herein alleged,  
10 Defendants have also violated 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) as provided in Cal. Civ. Codes  
11 section 51(f).

12 28. By reason of their acts and denial of Plaintiff's civil rights Defendants also violated the  
13 provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 52, which makes a person or entity in violation of Cal.Civ.  
14 Code 51 liable for the actual damages to a Plaintiff including treble damages where appropriate.

15 29. Defendants and each of them, at all times prior to and including March 2004, respectively and  
16 continuing to the present time, knew that persons with physical disabilities were denied their rights  
17 of equal access to all portions of this public facility. Despite such knowledge, Defendants, and each  
18 of them, failed and refused to take steps to comply with the applicable access statutes and despite  
19 knowledge of the resulting problems and denial of civil rights suffered by Plaintiff and other  
20 similarly situated persons with disabilities.

21 30. Defendants and each of them have failed and refused to take action to grant full and equal  
22 access to person with physical disabilities. Defendants have carried out a course of conduct of  
23 refusing to respond to, or correct complaints about unequal access and have refused to comply with  
24 their legal obligations to make the subject facility accessible pursuant the ADAAG and the  
25 California Building Code [Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations]. Such actions and  
26 continuing course of conduct by Defendants, and each of them, evidence despicable conduct in  
27  
28

1 conscious disregard of the rights and/or safety of Plaintiff and those similarly situated and thus  
2 justify an award of treble damages pursuant to section 52(a) and 54.3(a) of the Cal.Civ. Code or  
3 alternatively an award of punitive damages in an appropriate amount.  
4

5 31. Plaintiff has suffered emotional and physical damage and continues to suffer such damages all  
6 in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

7 32. Under the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 55 Plaintiff seeks an award of reasonable  
8 attorney's fees and costs as a result of having to bring this action. Plaintiff requests the court to  
9 award such fees in an appropriate amount.  
10

## 11 VIII

### 12 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### 13 (Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)

14 33. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Third Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

15 34. Defendants and each of them owed a duty to Plaintiff to make their facility accessible and to  
16 keep Plaintiff reasonably safe from known dangers and risks of harm. This duty arises by virtue of  
17 the legal duties proscribed by various federal and state statutes including, but not limited to, ADA,  
18 ADAAG, California Civil Code sections 51, 52, 54, 54.1 and Title 24 of the California Code of  
19 Regulations. Defendants had a duty of due care not to do or cause anything to happen that would  
20 subject Plaintiff to undue stress, embarrassment, chagrin, and discouragement.  
21

22 35. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiff by the actions and inaction complained of  
23 herein and as a result thereof Plaintiff was shocked, discouraged, embarrassed and outraged at the  
24 callousness and disregard of Defendants. Defendants knew or had reason to know that by denying  
25 Plaintiff equal access to their facility and failing and refusing to remove architectural barriers,  
26 Plaintiff would suffer emotional and/or mental distress because of such discrimination and disparate  
27  
28

1 treatment. Defendants breached their duty of care to plaintiff by the perpetration of the acts outlined  
2 herein.

3  
4 36. As a proximate result of the actions of Defendants Plaintiff did suffer emotional and mental  
5 stress and pain and suffering all in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

6 **IX**

7 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

8 **(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)**

9 37. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

10 38. The actions of Defendants and each of them are despicable, intentional and done with conscious  
11 disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and as such should be regarded as outrageous.

12 39. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional and mental  
13 distress all to his damage in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

14 40. Plaintiff seeks an award of punitive damages for this claim as the actions of Defendants are  
15 tantamount to outrageous conduct and subject them to exemplary damages.

16 **X**

17 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 **(DECLARATORY RELIEF)**

19 41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in  
20 paragraphs 1-40 of this complaint as though set forth fully herein.

21 42. An actual controversy now exists in that plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges  
22 that Defendants' premises are in violation of the disabled access laws of the State of California  
23 including, but not limited to, Civil Code Sections 51, et seq., Section 52, et seq., Title 24 of the  
24 California Code of Regulations and Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act and the  
25 Americans with Disabilities Access Guidelines (ADAAG).

26 43. A declaration of Plaintiff's rights is necessary and appropriate in order for the parties to this  
27 action to know their respective rights and duties. Accordingly, the court should make a declaration  
28 of the rights of the parties.

1 WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF PRAYS:

- 2 1. For general damages according to proof;
- 3 2. For special damages according to proof;
- 4 3. For damages pursuant to Cal. Civil Code section 52, in the amount of \$4,000 for each and every
- 5 offense of California Civil Code section 51, Title 24 of the California Building Code and the
- 6 Americans with Disabilities Act.
- 7 4. For Injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 12188(a) and for declaratory relief;
- 8 5. For an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1988, 42 U.S.C. 12205 and Cal. Civ. Code
- 9 section 55;
- 10 6. For treble damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code 52 (a);
- 11 7. For punitive damages according to proof;
- 12 8. For a Jury Trial;
- 13 9. For costs of suit incurred herein and;
- 14 10. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

15 **DEMAND FOR JURY**

16 Plaintiff respectfully requests that the claims made herein be heard and determined by a jury.

17 Respectfully submitted,

18 Dated: 5/24/04

**THE LAW OFFICES OF ROY L. LANDERS**

By: Roy L. Landers  
Attorney for Plaintiff,  
Faustino Ambrosio

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28