

1 LAW OFFICES OF ROY L. LANDERS  
2 ROY L. LANDERS (BAR #64920)  
3 LaTOYA S. REDD (BAR # 218342)  
4 7840 MISSION CENTER COURT, SUITE 101  
5 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92108  
6 TELEPHONE (619) 296-7898  
7 FACSIMILE (619) 296-5611

 ORIGINAL

8 Attorney for Plaintiff, Ismael Rivera

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
11 SOUTHERN DIVISION

12 SACV04-624 JVS (MLGx)

13 ISMAEL RIVERA,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 DIRAJ LAL dba TRAVELODGE; SMITA  
17 LAL, dba TRAVELODGE AND DOES 1-10,  
18 INCLUSIVE,  
19 Defendants.


20 Case No.:

21 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES RE:  
22 VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS ON BASIS  
23 OF DISCRIMINATION IN PUBLIC  
24 ACCOMMODATIONS; NEGLIGENT  
25 INFLECTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;  
26 INTENTIONAL INFLECTION OF  
27 EMOTIONAL DISTRESS; DECLARATORY  
28 RELIEF; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

29 I  
30 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

31 1. (a) Jurisdiction of this action is invoked on the basis of 28 USC 1331 and 1343, 42 USC 12101-  
32 12102, 12181-12183 and 12201, et. seq., which is applicable to causes of action where persons with  
33 disabilities have been denied their civil rights. Venue in the Central Judicial District of California in  
34 the United States District Court is in accord with 28. U.S.C. Section 1391(b) because a substantial  
35 part of Plaintiff's claims arose within the Judicial District of the United States District Court of the  
36 Central District of California.

37 (b) Supplemental Jurisdiction. The Judicial District of the United States District Court of the  
38 Central District of California has supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims alleged in this  
Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1367(a). Supplemental jurisdiction is appropriate in this



1 action on the basis that all the causes of action or claims derived from federal law and those arising  
2 under state law, as herein alleged, arose from a common nucleus of operative facts. The common  
3 nucleus of operative facts, include, but are not limited to, the incidents whereby Plaintiff was denied  
4 full and equal access to Defendant's facilities, goods, and/or services in violation of both federal and  
5 state laws when Plaintiff attempted to enter, use, and/or exit Defendant's facilities as described  
6 within this Complaint. Further, due to this denial of full and equal access, Plaintiff and other  
7 person's with disabilities were injured. Based upon such allegations, the state actions, as stated  
8 herein, are so related to the federal actions that they form part of the same case or controversy, and  
9 the actions would ordinarily be expected to be tried in one judicial proceeding.

## 10 II

### 11 PARTIES

12 2. Defendants Diraj LaL, dba Travelodge and Smita LaL, dba Travelodge were and at all times  
13 herein mentioned, were duly organized businesses, associations, or corporations duly authorized to  
14 exist and operate within the State of California and County of Orange and the owner, lessee, or  
15 tenant of the premises located at 2441 South El Camino Real San Clemente, California.

16 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that defendants as alleged above are the  
17 owners and/or landlords of the subject property upon which Defendants' business is sited.

18 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the named defendants herein  
19 operate a business and or/facility of public accommodation as defined and described within 42 USC  
20 12181(7)(A) of the American with Disabilities Act [ADA] and, as such, must comply with the ADA  
21 under provisions of Title III therein.

22 5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the Defendants sued as Does 1-10 herein, and therefore sues them in their  
23 fictitious names as Doe Defendants. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Does  
24 1-10 are the owners, operators, lessees or tenants of the subject property and each of the Doe  
25 Defendants at all times herein were acting as the agent and or representative of each other and,  
26 thereby, are responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages complained of herein.  
27 Plaintiff will seek leave of the court to amend this complaint to name Doe Defendants when the  
28 same is ascertained.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**III**

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

6. Plaintiff is a male who is disabled and confined to a wheelchair. He has no control over his lower extremities and must use a wheelchair to transport himself and to affect the basic necessities of his everyday existence. Plaintiff's disability substantially limits one or more of life's major activities and therefore he is disabled as defined under section 42 USC 12102(2)(A)(B)(C).

7. On or about the dates of April 7-24, 2004, Plaintiff patronized the premises of Defendants to utilize goods and/or services offered by Defendants. When Plaintiff attempted to gain access to the goods and/or services offered by Defendants he encountered access barriers because the premises failed to comply with federal ADA Access Guidelines For Building and Facilities [hereinafter "ADAAG"]; Department of Justice [DOJ] regulations at 28 CFR. 36.201; 36.304 and/or the State of California's Title 24 Building Code Requirements.

8. The specific difficulty Plaintiff had in entering and utilizing Defendants' facility including those based upon information and belief, which amount to a violation of ADAAG, DOJ regulations and Title 24 of the California Building Code are:

**TOTAL NUMBER OF VIOLATIONS (49)**

1 Site Entrance Signage (Does Not Exist)

(CA Title 24 1129B.5)

1 Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out - Reclaim at:)

(CA Title 24 1129B.5)

1 Site Entrance Signage (Not Filled Out – Telephone number:)

(CA Title 24 1129B.5)

1 Site Entrance Signage (Size not less than 17" x 22" )

(CA Title 24 1129B.5)

1 Site Entrance Signage (Lettering not less than 1" in Height)

(CA Title 24 1129B.5)

1 Site Entrance Signage (Warning Information) (CA Title 24 1129B.5)

1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking - Space

- 1 (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 2 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Width
- 3 (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 4 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Length
- 5 (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 6 1 Designated Disabled "VAN ACCESSIBLE" Parking Space - Signage
- 7 (ADAAG 4.1.2(5)(b) & CA Title 24 1129.B.4.2)
- 8 1 Warning – Access Aisle - (NO PARKING)
- 9 (CA Title 24 1129B.4.1 & 2)
- 10 1 Warning – Access Aisle - (12" High Minimum)
- 11 (CA Title 24 1129B.4.1 & 2)
- 12 1 Van Accessible Aisle – Exist/Improper
- 13 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 14 1 Van Accessible Aisle - Width
- 15 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 16 1 Van Accessible Aisle - Length
- 17 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 18 1 Van Accessible Aisle - (Passenger Side)
- 19 (ADAAG 4.6.3 & CA Title 24 1129B.4.2)
- 20 1 Additional Signage - (Van Accessible)
- 21 (ADAAG 4.6.4 & CA Title 24 1129B.5)
- 22 2 Stairway - Warning
- 23 (CA Title 24 1133B.4.4 & 1127B.4)
- 24 2 Faucet Fixtures - (One Hand Operable)
- 25 (ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1508.1 & .2)
- 26 2 Faucets Fixtures - (Max 5 lb Force to Activate)
- 27 (ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1508.1 & .2)
- 28 2 Faucets Fixtures - (Lever-Type)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

(ADAAG 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1508.1 & .2)

**4 Hardware – Opening Door Knobs**

(ADAAG 4.13.9 & CA Title 24 1133B.2.5.1)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Minimum Number 1%**

(ADA 4.23.8 & CA Title 24 1115B.6)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Seat in Tub Clear Floor Space 30" x 60" Parallel Approach**

(ADA 4.20.2 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.1)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Seat in Tub Clear Floor Space 48" x 60" Perpendicular Approach**

(ADA 4.20.2 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.1)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Seat at Head of Tub 30" x 75" Parallel Approach**

(ADA 4.20.3 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.1)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Seat in Tub 15" Minimum Width**

(CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.2)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Grab Bar Structural Strength 250lbf load**

(ADA 4.26.3 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.2 & 1115B.8.3.1-4)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Grab Bar – Control Side of Tub 24", starting at Edge of Tub**

(ADA 4.20.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.3)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Side of Tub has **Two** grab Bars 24" Long, 9 & 33" –36" above Floor**

(ADA 4.20.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.3)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Side of Tub has **One** grab Bar 24" Long, 33" –36" above Floor**

(ADA 4.20.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.3)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Side of Tub has **One** grab Bar 24" Long, 9" above Tub**

(ADA 4.20.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.3)

**Grab Bars Location with Seat at Head of Tub**

**1 Bathing Facilities – Side of Tub has **Two** grab Bars 48" Long, 9" & 33" –36" above Tub**

(ADA 4.20.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.3)

**1 Bathing Facilities – Side of Tub has **One** grab Bars 48" Long, 9" above Tub**

(ADA 4.20.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.3)

1 1 Bathing Facilities – Side of Tub has **One** grab Bars 48" Long, 33" – 36" above Tub  
2 (ADA 4.20.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.3)

3 **Controls**

4 1 Controls - Operable with One Hand  
5 (ADA 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.4)

6 1 Controls – Operable with 5lb Force Max  
7 (ADA 4.27.4 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.4)

8 1 Controls – Located on the Foot Wall Below Grab bar  
9 (ADA 4.20.5 & CA Title 24 1115B.6.1.4)

10 1 Fully accessible rooms are provided in the number required.  
11 (ADAAG 9.1.2 & CA Title 24 1111B.4.2)

12 1 At least one bathtub or shower in each accessible sleeping room or suite is accessible.  
13 (ADAAG 9.2.2 (6)(e) & CA Title 24 1111B.4.6.6)

14 1 Controls on Dispensers, Receptacles, or Other Types of Equipment  
15 (ADAAG 9.2.2(7) & CA Title 24 1111B.4.4)

16 1 Operating Mechanisms – Tight Grasping, Pinching or Twisting of the Wrist  
17 (ADAAG 4.27.4 & ca title 24 1117B.6.3)

18 9. Based upon the above facts, Plaintiff as been discriminated against and will continue to be  
19 discriminated against unless and until Defendants are enjoined and forced to cease and desist from  
20 continuing to discriminate against Plaintiff and others similarly situated.

21 10. Pursuant to Federal [ADA], Title 28 CFR 36.201; 36.203; 36.304; 36.305 and state law  
22 [California Title 24], Defendants are required to remove barriers to their existing facilities.  
23 Defendants have been put on notice pursuant to the ADA and California Civil Codes [51,52] prior  
24 to the statutory effect of the ADA on January 26, 1992 that Defendants and each of them had a duty  
25 to remove barriers to persons with disabilities such as plaintiff. Defendants also knew or should  
26 have known that individuals such as Plaintiff with a disability are not required to give notice to a  
27 governmental agency prior to filing suit alleging Defendants' failure to remove architectural  
28 barriers.

1 11. Plaintiff believes and thereon allege that Defendants' facilities, as described herein, have other  
2 access violations not directly experienced by Plaintiff, which preclude or limit access by others with  
3 disabilities, including, but not limited to, Space Allowances, Reach Ranges, Accessible Routes,  
4 Protruding Objects, Ground and Floor Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb  
5 Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances,  
6 Drinking Fountains, and Water Coolers, Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals, Lavatories and  
7 Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars, Telephones, Controls and Operating Mechanisms,  
8 Alarms, Detectable Warnings and Signage. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required  
9 to remove all architectural barriers, known or unknown. Also, Plaintiff alleges Defendants are  
10 required to utilize the ADA checklist for Readily Achievable Barrier Removal approved by the  
11 United States Department of Justice and created by Adaptive Environments.

12 12. Plaintiff desires to return to Defendants' places of business and utilize their facilities without  
13 being discriminated against in the immediate future.

14 **IV**

15 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **(Violation of Civil Rights-American With Disabilities Act)**

17 13. Plaintiff realleges the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 12 as though set forth fully herein.

18 **Claim 1: Denial of Full and Equal Access**

19 14. Based on the facts asserted above Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants'  
20 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations. Defendant business is a  
21 public accommodation owned, leased and/or operated by Defendants and each of them. Defendants'  
22 existing facilities and/or services failed to provide full and equal access to Defendants' facility as  
23 required by 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation  
24 of 42 U.S.C. 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii)(iv) and 42 U.S.C. section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied equal  
25 access to Defendants' existing facilities.

26 15. Plaintiff has a physical impairment as alleged herein because his condition affects one or more  
27 of the following body systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, and/or  
28 cardiovascular. Further, his physical impairments substantially limits one or more of the following

1 major life activities: [walking]. In addition, Plaintiff cannot perform one or more of the said major  
2 life activities in the manner speed, and duration when compared to the average person. Moreover,  
3 Plaintiff has a history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment as required by 42  
4 U.S.C. section 12102(2)(A).

5 **Claim 2: Failure To Remove Architectural Barriers**

6 16. Based upon the facts alleged herein, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendants'  
7 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public  
8 accommodation owned leased, and/or operated by the named Defendants. Defendants individually  
9 and collectively failed to remove barriers as required by 42 U.S.C. 12182(a) and 28 CFR 36.304.  
10 Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thus alleges that architectural barriers which are structural in  
11 nature exist at the following physical elements of Defendants' facilities:  
12 Space Allowance and Reach Ranges, Accessible Route, Protruding Objects, Ground and Floor  
13 Surfaces, Parking and Passenger Loading Zones, Curb Ramps, Ramps, Stairs, Elevators, Platform  
14 Lifts (Wheelchair Lifts), Windows, Doors, Entrances, Drinking Fountains and Water Coolers,  
15 Water Closets, Toilet Stalls, Urinals, Lavatories and Mirrors, Sinks, Storage, Handrails, Grab Bars,  
16 and Controls and Operating Mechanisms, Alarms, Detectable Warnings, Signage, and Telephones.  
17 Pursuant to 42 USC section 12182(b)(2)(iv) and 28 CFR 36.304 Title III requires places of public  
18 accommodation to remove architectural barriers that are structural in nature within existing  
19 facilities. Failure to remove such barriers and disparate treatment against a person who has a known  
20 association with a person with a disability are forms of prohibited discrimination. Accordingly,  
21 Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of 42 USC 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC  
22 12182 (b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 USC 12188.

23 **Claim 3: Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures**

24 17. Based on the facts alleged in this Complaint Defendants failed and refused to provide a  
25 reasonable alternative by modifying its practices, policies and procedures in that they failed to have  
26 a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and/or others similarly situated in entering and utilizing  
27 Defendants' services, as required by 42 U.S.C. Section 12188(a). Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to  
28



1 discrimination in violation of 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv); 28 CFR 36.302 and 42 U.S.C.  
2 section 12188 because Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' existing facilities.

3 18. As a result of the wrongful and discriminatory practices of defendants, plaintiff has suffered  
4 actual damages consisting of special damages and general damages in an amount to be determined  
5 at time of trial herein.

6 19. Pursuant to the provisions of 42 USC 12188 plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and an order  
7 directing defendants to cease and desist from discriminating against plaintiff and others similarly  
8 situated and for an order that defendants comply with the Americans With Disabilities Act  
9 forthwith.

10 20. Under the provisions of 42 USC 12205 Plaintiff is entitled to an award of reasonable attorneys  
11 fees and requests that the court grant such fees as are appropriate.

## 12 VI

### 13 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

#### 14 (Violation Of Civil Rights Under California Accessibility Laws)

15 21. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the First Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

##### 16 (a) Denial Of Full And Equal Access

17 22. Plaintiff has been denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods services, facilities,  
18 privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned, leased, and/or  
19 operated by Defendants in violation of California Civil Code Sections 54 and 54.1 and California  
20 Health and Safety Code Section 19955. The actions of Defendants also violate the provisions of  
21 Title 24 of the State of California Building Codes with regard to accessibility for persons with  
22 disabilities by failing to provide access to Defendants facilities due to violations pertaining to  
23 accessible routes, ground and floor surfaces, parking and passenger loading zones, curb ramps,  
24 ramps, stairs, elevators, platform lifts (wheelchair lifts), windows, doors, toilet stalls, urinals,  
25 lavatories and mirrors, sinks, storage, handrails, grab bars, controls and operating mechanisms  
26 alarms, detectable warnings, signage and telephones.

27 23. On the above basis Plaintiff has been wrongfully discriminated against.

##### 28 (b) Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures

1 24. Defendants have failed and refused and continue to fail and refuse to provide a reasonable  
2 alternative to allow plaintiff equal access to their facility by modifying their practices, policies, and  
3 procedures in that that they failed to have s scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and others  
4 similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' goods or services as required by California  
5 Civil Code section 54 and 54.1. Accordingly Defendants have wrongfully discriminated against  
6 Plaintiff.

7 **VII**

8 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 **(Violation of The Unruh Civil Rights Act)**

10 25. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Second Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

11 26. Section 51(b) of the Cal. Civ. Code [The Unruh Civil Rights Act], provides in pertinent part:

12 "All persons within the jurisdiction of this state are  
13 free and equal, and no matter what their sex, race, color,  
14 religion, ancestry, national origin, disability, or medical  
15 condition is entitled to the full and equal accommodations,  
16 advantages, facilities, privileges, or services in all  
17 business establishments of every kind whatsoever."

18 27. Defendants have violated the provisions of Civ. Code 51 (b) by

19 failing and refusing to provide free and equal access to Plaintiff to their facility on the same basis as

20 other persons not disabled. By their failure to provide equal access to Plaintiff as herein alleged,

21 Defendants have also violated 42 U.S.C. section 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) as provided in Cal. Civ. Codes

22 section 51(f).

23 28. By reason of their acts and denial of Plaintiff's civil rights Defendants also violated the

24 provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 52, which makes a person or entity in violation of Cal.Civ.

25 Code 51 liable for the actual damages to a Plaintiff including treble damages where appropriate.

26 29. Defendants and each of them, at all times prior to and including April 2004, respectively and

27 continuing to the present time, knew that persons with physical disabilities were denied their rights

28 of equal access to all portions of this public facility. Despite such knowledge, Defendants, and each

1 of them, failed and refused to take steps to comply with the applicable access statutes and despite  
2 knowledge of the resulting problems and denial of civil rights suffered by Plaintiff and other  
3 similarly situated persons with disabilities.

4  
5 30. Defendants and each of them have failed and refused to take action to grant full and equal  
6 access to person with physical disabilities. Defendants have carried out a course of conduct of  
7 refusing to respond to, or correct complaints about unequal access and have refused to comply with  
8 their legal obligations to make the subject facility accessible pursuant the ADAAG and the  
9 California Building Code [Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations]. Such actions and  
10 continuing course of conduct by Defendants, and each of them, evidence despicable conduct in  
11 conscious disregard of the rights and/or safety of Plaintiff and those similarly situated and thus  
12 justify an award of treble damages pursuant to section 52(a) and 54.3(a) of the Cal.Civ. Code or  
13 alternatively an award of punitive damages in an appropriate amount.

14  
15  
16 31. Plaintiff has suffered emotional and physical damage and continues to suffer such damages all  
17 in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

18 32. Under the provisions of Cal. Civ. Code section 55 Plaintiff seeks an award of reasonable  
19 attorney's fees and costs as a result of having to bring this action. Plaintiff requests the court to  
20 award such fees in an appropriate amount.

## 21 VIII

### 22 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### 23 (Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress)

24  
25 33. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Third Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

26 34. Defendants and each of them owed a duty to Plaintiff to make their facility accessible and to  
27 keep Plaintiff reasonably safe from known dangers and risks of harm. This duty arises by virtue of  
28

1 the legal duties proscribed by various federal and state statutes including, but not limited to, ADA,  
2 ADAAG, California Civil Code sections 51, 52, 54, 54.1 and Title 24 of the California Code of  
3 Regulations. Defendants had a duty of due care not to do or cause anything to happen that would  
4 subject Plaintiff to undue stress, embarrassment, chagrin, and discouragement.  
5

6 35. Defendants breached their duty of care to Plaintiff by the actions and inaction complained of  
7 herein and as a result thereof Plaintiff was shocked, discouraged, embarrassed and outraged at the  
8 callousness and disregard of Defendants. Defendants knew or had reason to know that by denying  
9 Plaintiff equal access to their facility and failing and refusing to remove architectural barriers,  
10 Plaintiff would suffer emotional and/or mental distress because of such discrimination and disparate  
11 treatment. Defendants breached their duty of care to plaintiff by the perpetration of the acts outlined  
12 herein.  
13

14 36. As a proximate result of the actions of Defendants Plaintiff did suffer emotional and mental  
15 stress and pain and suffering all in an amount to be determined at time of trial.  
16

## 17 IX

### 18 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 19 **(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)**

20 37. Plaintiff realleges the allegations of the Fourth Cause of Action as though set forth fully herein.

21 38. The actions of Defendants and each of them are despicable, intentional and done with conscious  
22 disregard of the rights and safety of Plaintiff and as such should be regarded as outrageous.

23 39. As a proximate result of Defendants' actions Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional and mental  
24 distress all to his damage in an amount to be determined at time of trial.

25 40. Plaintiff seeks an award of punitive damages for this claim as the actions of Defendants are  
26 tantamount to outrageous conduct and subject them to exemplary damages.

27 //

28 //

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**X**

**SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**(DECLARATORY RELIEF)**

41. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1-40 of this complaint as though set forth fully herein.

42. An actual controversy now exists in that plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants' premises are in violation of the disabled access laws of the State of California including, but not limited to, Civil Code Sections 51, et seq., Section 52, et seq., Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations and Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Americans with Disabilities Access Guidelines (ADAAG).

43. A declaration of Plaintiff's rights is necessary and appropriate in order for the parties to this action to know their respective rights and duties. Accordingly, the court should make a declaration of the rights of the parties.

**WHEREFORE PLAINTIFF PRAYS:**

1. For general damages according to proof;
2. For special damages according to proof;
3. For damages pursuant to Cal. Civil Code section 52, in the amount of \$4,000 for each and every offense of California Civil Code section 51, Title 24 of the California Building Code and the Americans with Disabilities Act.
4. For Injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 12188(a) and for declaratory relief;
5. For an award of attorney's fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1988, 42 U.S.C. 12205 and Cal. Civ. Code section 55;
6. For treble damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code 52 (a);
7. For punitive damages according to proof;
8. For a Jury Trial;
9. For costs of suit incurred herein and;
10. For such other and further relief as the court deems proper.

//

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**DEMAND FOR JURY**

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the claims made herein be heard and determined by a jury.

Respectfully submitted,

**THE LAW OFFICES OF ROY L. LANDERS**

Dated: 5/25/04

By: Roy L. Landers  
Attorney for Plaintiff,  
Ismael Rivera